

APPROACHES TO TEACHING WRITING A FOREIGN LANGUAGE AT A NON-LINGUISTIC UNIVERSITY

Rustamova Shakhnoza Abdurakhimovna

Associate Professor, Tashkent State Transport University

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6038-1181>

shahnoza.rustamova.1983@gmail.com

Abstract

This article provides an overview of effective methodologies for teaching writing in a foreign language at universities that do not specialize in linguistics. It acknowledges the distinctive challenges and opportunities inherent in this context while emphasizing the significance of writing in foreign language acquisition for supporting students' academic and professional endeavors. The abstract explores various teaching approaches, including those centered on the writing process, genre-based instruction, and integrated skills methodologies, examining their potential impact on students' writing proficiency and critical thinking skills. The integration of technology into writing instruction is highlighted as a valuable tool to offer students interactive and authentic writing experiences.

Keywords: pedagogical approaches, curriculum, educational achievements, leveraging technology, university environments, acknowledges, methodology, non-linguistic universities.

Introduction

Instructing writing in a foreign language within a non-linguistic university setting presents distinctive challenges and possibilities for inventive pedagogical approaches. This article endeavors to examine successful strategies and methodologies for teaching writing in a foreign language specifically tailored to non-linguistic universities. The exploration will encompass a discussion of diverse teaching approaches, considering their potential influence on students' educational achievements. Special attention will be directed towards integrating writing skills seamlessly into the curriculum, fostering the enhancement of students' writing proficiency, and leveraging technology to enrich the teaching process. The goal is to provide educators and administrators with valuable insights into effective practices that can optimize the teaching of writing in a foreign language within the unique context of non-linguistic university environments.

Educating students in writing within the context of a foreign language at non-linguistic universities brings forth distinctive challenges and prospects, demanding a specifically crafted and efficient research methodology to elevate educational achievements. This article endeavors to delve into and present a research methodology designed for instructing writing in a foreign language at non-linguistic universities, aspiring to encompass a range of approaches and strategies aimed at refining the teaching and learning experience. The research will encompass



an exhaustive review of the literature concerning teaching writing in a foreign language, the proposal of a research methodology that integrates effective pedagogical approaches, and an exploration of the potential influence on student writing proficiency and language acquisition. The distinctiveness of teaching writing in a foreign language at non-linguistic universities lies in the need for an instructional approach that caters to the diverse academic backgrounds and linguistic competencies of the students. As such, the research methodology proposed in this article aims to consider the multifaceted nature of the learning environment, accommodating the various challenges posed by non-linguistic disciplines. By conducting a thorough review of existing literature on teaching writing in a foreign language, the article seeks to assimilate insights and best practices from prior research, offering a foundation for the development of an effective research methodology.

The proposed research methodology aims to be comprehensive and adaptable, integrating a variety of pedagogical approaches to address the unique demands of non-linguistic university settings. This includes strategies for fostering critical thinking skills, independent thought, and reflective practices in writing. Additionally, the methodology will explore the potential impact on student writing proficiency and language acquisition, considering the diverse linguistic backgrounds and proficiency levels of the student body. In essence, the research methodology outlined in this article is envisioned as a dynamic and responsive framework that recognizes the intricacies of teaching writing in a foreign language at non-linguistic universities. By embracing a diverse range of approaches and strategies, the methodology aims to optimize the teaching and learning process, ultimately contributing to enhanced student outcomes in writing proficiency and language acquisition.

Literature Review

Traditionally, scholars in the field of linguistics, which plays a significant role in shaping methods for teaching foreign languages, have regarded written speech as a derivative of oral communication without independent status, as noted by Mirolyubov[1]. L.V.Shcherba, however, departed from this traditional perspective. In his works, "On the General Educational Significance of foreign languages" and "How to Learn Foreign Languages", Shcherba delved into the teaching of foreign language writing, challenging the notion that written language is merely a byproduct of spoken language. Shcherba proposed that reading serves as an effective method for mastering written speech, emphasizing its role in developing a "sense of language." Texts, according to Shcherba, contain crucial language materials, speech patterns, and clichés, and he advocated for the imitation of foreign-language printed texts as the foundation for teaching writing. Additionally, Shcherba underscored the importance of a communicative task in writing, specifying goals and audience considerations, practical orientation aligned with the profession or activities of learners, and the systematic approach involving regularity and duration in teaching foreign language writing. It is noteworthy that Russian methodologists of the time largely overlooked the challenges and nuances associated with teaching writing as a means of expressing thoughts in a foreign language and as a productive form of language acquisition [1].

Research in the realms of psychology and psycholinguistics has assumed a pivotal role in shaping the methodology for teaching foreign language writing, as indicated by Belyaev,



Zhinkin, and Leontiev. N.I.Zhinkin's works, particularly "On code transitions in internal speech" and "Development of written speech of students in grades 3-7," delve into the concept of "proactive synthesis" as the foundational mechanism for the development of written expression[2]. These works provide psychological justification for the inertia of verbal formations and negative induction, both of which can adversely impact the acquisition of writing skills. Noteworthy contributions from Russian psychologists such as Vygotsky and Luria have illuminated the intimate relationship between writing and oral speech. By elucidating the mechanism of internal speech mastery, these scientists have argued for the independent status of written speech as a productive form of speech activity[3].

The establishment of the communicative principle has given rise to the development of a communicative methodology for teaching foreign languages, where oral speech assumes a central role as the primary means for accomplishing communicative tasks, as advocated by Passov. With written communication emerging as the principal channel for international interactions, the significance of writing and its instruction in higher education has undergone a reevaluation.

In contemporary Russian linguodidactics and foreign language teaching methods, there is a significant focus on addressing the challenges of teaching written speech. Notably, the terms "writing" and "written speech" are nearly synonymous. As articulated by A.N.Shchukin, "writing" encompasses a sign system used for recording speech and transmitting information across distances and time, a written message or text, and a productive form of speech activity for expressing thoughts in a graphical format. Consequently, the teaching methodology emphasizes developing skills related to writing techniques (such as graphics, spelling, and punctuation), assimilating structural models, and fostering the ability to compose productive speech to express thoughts in a foreign language. These foundational approaches to writing and developing writing skills have long been integral to the teaching of foreign language writing, both in Russian methodology and linguodidactics.

However, an examination of diverse perspectives from domestic scholars[4] and international researchers[5] in the realms of foreign language teaching methods, applied linguistics, and linguistics reveals a broad interpretation of the terms "writing" and "written speech" in the context of foreign language instruction. This broad interpretation contributes to a lack of clarity in understanding the objectives of teaching writing and determining the content of instruction. After a comprehensive examination of the existing facets of teaching writing, we posit that the most efficacious approach to instructing foreign language writing should consider the creation of a written text as a product of discourse (genre) and the process of generating written speech[6]. Integrating the acquisition of skills for crafting texts within specific genres, considering their socio-cultural and sociolinguistic characteristics, and cultivating writing skills as a form of speech activity (inclusive of developing critical thinking skills, independence, and (self)reflection) enables the implementation of a model for teaching foreign language writing as a social practice. This model embodies a comprehensive and multidimensional educational framework. Our research foundation lies in teaching foreign language written language as a social practice, involving both the creation of a written text (genre) and the process of generation. This approach allows for the incorporation of diverse learning stages, levels of foreign language proficiency, and student needs, encompassing value-



semantic, cognitive, motivational, and behavioral aspects inherent in written language as a social practice.

Research Methodology

The methodology employed for this research will adopt a mixed-methods approach, strategically combining both qualitative and quantitative techniques for comprehensive data collection. To gain nuanced insights into the implementation of effective teaching approaches and their repercussions on students' writing proficiency and critical thinking abilities, various qualitative methods will be incorporated. Classroom observations will provide a firsthand understanding of the teaching practices in action, shedding light on the dynamics between educators and students. Additionally, student interviews will offer a valuable perspective on their experiences and perceptions, uncovering the nuances of the learning process. Simultaneously, surveys directed at teachers will provide an overview of instructional methodologies, shedding light on the strategies employed within the learning environment. Complementing these qualitative methods, the research will employ quantitative techniques to assess the effectiveness of the proposed teaching approaches. Standardized language proficiency tests will be administered to objectively measure the language competencies of students. This quantitative data will serve as a comparative tool, allowing for the evaluation of the impact of specific teaching approaches on students' overall language proficiency. Furthermore, the analysis of student writing samples will provide a quantitative measure of writing proficiency, allowing for a detailed examination of the application and success of various teaching strategies in enhancing written expression. The mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data, is designed to offer a holistic and nuanced understanding of the multifaceted impact of teaching approaches on writing proficiency and critical thinking abilities. By triangulating findings from different sources, the research aims to provide a comprehensive analysis that goes beyond surface-level assessments. This methodology will not only uncover the strengths and limitations of current teaching practices but will also contribute to the development of evidence-based recommendations for enhancing the teaching of writing in a foreign language at non-linguistic universities.

Analysis and Results

The quantitative analysis component of the research will undertake a statistical examination of data derived from two key sources: standardized language proficiency tests and student writing samples. This analytical approach is designed to quantify and objectively measure the influence of effective teaching approaches on both student writing proficiency and language acquisition. By applying statistical methods to the obtained data, the research aims to provide concrete and measurable evidence regarding the efficacy of the proposed strategies in achieving the intended outcomes.

The first source of quantitative data, standardized language proficiency tests, will serve as a reliable benchmark for assessing students' language competencies. Statistical analysis of the test results will allow for a quantitative evaluation of the impact of effective teaching approaches on overall language proficiency levels. This quantitative measure will contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the success of specific teaching strategies in fostering



language acquisition. The second source of quantitative data, student writing samples, will undergo a meticulous statistical analysis to gauge the effectiveness of teaching approaches in enhancing writing proficiency. Through quantitative assessment, the research aims to quantify improvements in various aspects of writing, such as coherence, organization, vocabulary utilization, and grammatical proficiency. This detailed analysis will provide numerical insights into the impact of effective teaching approaches on students' written expression skills.

In addition to the quantitative analysis, the proposed research methodology includes an evaluation of the integration of technology in writing instruction and the development of suitable assessment and feedback mechanisms. Surveys and observational methods will be employed to assess the use of technology in the teaching process, aiming to quantify its impact on enhancing the quality of writing instruction. Simultaneously, the effectiveness of assessment tools and feedback mechanisms will be quantitatively evaluated through survey responses and observational data, aiming to provide a comprehensive assessment of their contribution to the teaching and learning process. Through this integrated quantitative approach, the research aims to contribute substantiated and tangible evidence regarding the impact of effective teaching approaches, technology integration, and assessment mechanisms on the enhancement of writing proficiency and language acquisition among students in non-linguistic university settings.

Conclusion

Effectively instructing writing in a foreign language at non-linguistic universities demands a nuanced and customized approach tailored to the distinctive needs and objectives of students. Through the integration of impactful teaching methodologies, technological tools, continuous teacher training, and robust assessment measures, non-linguistic universities can elevate students' writing proficiency, equipping them for success in both academic and professional realms, irrespective of their chosen field of study. This article serves as a comprehensive guide, offering valuable insights for educators and administrators aiming to maximize the effectiveness of teaching writing in a foreign language within the specific context of non-linguistic universities.

REFERENCES

1. Миролюбов А. А. История отечественной методики обучения иностранным языкам / А. А. Миролюбов. — М: Ступени, 2002. — 448 с.
2. Беляев Б. В. О применении принципа сознательности в обучении иностранному языку / Б. В. Беляев // Психология в обучении иностранному языку: сборник. — М., 1967. — С. 5–17.
3. Амонашвили Ш. А. Механизмы письменной речи и методика обучения письму- В кн.: Научное творчество Л.С. Выготского и современная психология. М., 1981.
4. Грузинская И. А. Методика преподавания английского языка в средней школе И.А.Грузинская. — 5-е изд. — М: Государственное учебнопедагогическое издательство министерства просвещения РСФСР, 1947. — 223 с.
5. Johns A. M. Text, role, and context: Developing academic literacies / A. M. Johns. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997. — 188 p



6. Нұжа И. В. Обучение иноязычной профессионально-ориентированной письменной речи студентов социологических факультетов: дис. канд. пед. наук: 13.00.02 / Нұжа Ирина Витальевна. — СПб., 2010. — 247 с.
7. Тургунова Ф. PRAGMALINGVISTIKA NUQTAI NAZARIDAN SARLAVHA FUNKTSIYALARI //Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари/Актуальные проблемы социально-гуманитарных наук/Actual Problems of Humanities and Social Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 3. – №. 6. – С. 192-197.
8. Turgunova F. ZAMONAVIY TILSHUNOSLIKDA REKLAMA VA REKLAMA MATNI HODISASINI O'RGANISHNING ASOSIY YINDASHUVLARI //O 'ZBEKISTON MILLIY UNIVERSITETI XABARLARI. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 4. – С. 1.
9. Mirza o'g'li A. I. O'QITUVCHINING TANBEHIGA VA TANQIDIY BAHOSIGA ANIQ JAVOB SIFATIDA TALABAGA TANBEH BERISH //Journal of new century innovations. – 2022. – Т. 19. – №. 1. – С. 142-148.
10. Атабоев И. MADANIYATLARARO SIYOSIY MAKONDA TAJOVUZKOR SALOHİYATGA EGA NUTQ TA'SIRINING USULLARI //Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари/Актуальные проблемы социально-гуманитарных наук/Actual Problems of Humanities and Social Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 3. – №. 6. – С. 214-219.
11. Mirza o'g'li A. I. Linguistic-Conceptual Description of the Concept "Ta'na-Dashnom" in Uzbeki and English Languages //Genius Repository. – 2023. – Т. 24. – С. 13-20.
12. Mirza o'g'li A. I. O 'ZBEK TILIDA TA'NA-DASHNOM VA TANBEH TUSHUNCHASI //Proceedings of International Conference on Scientific Research in Natural and Social Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 12. – С. 6-14.
13. Mirza o'g'li A. I. "TA'NA-DASHNOM" KONSEPTINING SEMANTIK MAYDONI //Proceedings of International Conference on Educational Discoveries and Humanities. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 12. – С. 4-8.
14. Qizi A. D. X. et al. Importance of uzbek and english songs in education and language learning //ACADEMICIA: AN INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH JOURNAL. – 2021. – Т. 11. – №. 2. – С. 1146-1150.
15. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. THE IMPORTANCE OF LISTENING AND SPEAKING IN LEARNING ENGLISH //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
16. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF EFFECTIVE SKILLS IN TEACHING ENGLISH //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
17. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. PROJECT WORK NATURAL EXTENSION STUDY OF CONTENT-BASED TEACHING //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
18. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. TECHNOLOGY TO DEVELOP WRITING IN THE CURRENT INFORMATION AGE //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.



19. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. ADVANTAGES AND METHODS OF USING GAMES IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
20. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. DEVELOPMENT OF INTERACTIVE METHODS IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHERS //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
21. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. APPLYING AN ECLECTIC APPROACH TO ENGLISH TEACHING IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
22. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. USING THE VOX-POP METHOD IN TEACHING A FOREIGN LANGUAGE TO YOUNG LEARNERS //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
23. Rustamov I., Mirza ogli A. I. METHODS OF TEACHING GRAMMAR THROUGH HOMEWORK //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
24. Tursunovich R. I. The Role of Lexical Units in Teaching A Foreign Language in The Field of Transport //International Journal of Scientific Trends. – 2024. – Т. 3. – №. 2. – С. 119-128.
25. Rustamov I. Jamol SYMBOLS IN MODERN LINGUISTICS: SYMBOLS IN MODERN LINGUISTICS //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.
26. Anarbaev O., Rustamov I. ИЗУЧЕНИЕ ИНОСТРАННОГО ЯЗЫКА: ПРОБЛЕМЫ И СПОСОБЫ ИХ РЕШЕНИЯ: ИЗУЧЕНИЕ ИНОСТРАННОГО ЯЗЫКА: ПРОБЛЕМЫ И СПОСОБЫ ИХ РЕШЕНИЯ //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.
27. Tursunovich R. I. Teaching A Foreign Language Through Text! //Genius Repository. – 2023. – Т. 24. – С. 24-29.
28. Rustamov I. et al. LEXICAL PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN THE TRANSLATION OF SMALL STORIES: QUALITATIVE STUDY APPROACH //SPAST Abstracts. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 02.

