European Science Methodical Journal
ISSN (E): 2938-3641
Volume 4, Issue 5, May- 2026

ECONOMIC MECHANISMS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL BUSINESS AND PRIVATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN UZBEKISTAN

Murodov Abdul Majid Dilshod o‘g‘li
Economy 01 24
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract:
This article examines the economic mechanisms for developing small business and private entrepreneurship in Uzbekistan. Small enterprises and private entrepreneurial entities perform an important role in creating employment, increasing household income, developing competitive markets, expanding the domestic supply of goods and services, and stimulating regional economic activity. The study analyses financial, tax, institutional, infrastructural, investment, and organizational mechanisms that influence entrepreneurial development. Particular attention is paid to access to credit, preferential financing, loan guarantees, taxation, administrative regulation, property protection, digital public services, business infrastructure, innovation support, vocational training, and export promotion. The research identifies limited financial resources, insufficient collateral, high borrowing costs, administrative burdens, inadequate entrepreneurial skills, regional disparities, and restricted access to technology and foreign markets as major constraints on business growth. It is argued that isolated incentives cannot ensure sustainable entrepreneurial development unless they are supported by transparent institutions, fair competition, predictable regulation, effective financial markets, and reliable infrastructure. The findings indicate that differentiated support measures should be introduced according to enterprise size, sector, development stage, innovation potential, and regional conditions. The article emphasizes the importance of improving the evaluation of public support programmes through measurable indicators such as enterprise survival, employment creation, productivity, investment, tax compliance, and export growth. Strengthening coordination among public authorities, commercial banks, educational institutions, business associations, and local communities can increase the effectiveness of economic support mechanisms. A comprehensive and market-oriented policy framework can transform small business and private entrepreneurship into a stronger source of inclusive growth, innovation, income generation, and long-term economic resilience.

Keywords: Small business, private entrepreneurship, economic mechanisms, financial support, taxation, investment, employment, innovation, business environment, competitiveness.

European Science Methodical Journal
ISSN (E): 2938-3641
Volume 4, Issue 5, May- 2026

Introduction

O‘ZBEKISTONDA KICHIK BIZNES VA XUSUSIY TADBIRKORLIKNI RIVOJLANTIRISHNING IQTISODIY MEXANIZMLARI

Murodov Abdul Majid Dilshod o‘g‘li
Iqtisodiyot 01 24

Annotatsiya:
Mazkur maqolada O‘zbekistonda kichik biznes va xususiy tadbirkorlikni rivojlantirishning iqtisodiy mexanizmlari tadqiq etilgan. Kichik korxonalar va xususiy tadbirkorlik subyektlari yangi ish o‘rinlarini yaratish, aholi daromadlarini oshirish, raqobat muhitini rivojlantirish, ichki bozorni tovar va xizmatlar bilan ta’minlash hamda hududiy iqtisodiy faollikni rag‘batlantirishda muhim o‘rin tutadi. Tadqiqotda tadbirkorlik rivojiga ta’sir ko‘rsatuvchi moliyaviy, soliq, institutsional, infratuzilmaviy, investitsiyaviy va tashkiliy mexanizmlar tahlil qilingan. Kredit resurslaridan foydalanish, imtiyozli moliyalashtirish, kredit kafolatlari, soliqqa tortish, ma’muriy tartibga solish, mulk huquqini himoya qilish, raqamli davlat xizmatlari, biznes infratuzilmasi, innovatsiyalarni qo‘llab-quvvatlash, kasbiy tayyorgarlik va eksportni rag‘batlantirish masalalariga alohida e’tibor qaratilgan. Moliyaviy resurslarning cheklanganligi, yetarli garov ta’minotining mavjud emasligi, kredit xarajatlarining yuqoriligi, ma’muriy yuk, tadbirkorlik ko‘nikmalarining yetarli emasligi, hududlar o‘rtasidagi tafovutlar hamda texnologiyalar va tashqi bozorlardan foydalanish imkoniyatlarining cheklanganligi biznes rivojiga to‘sqinlik qiluvchi asosiy omillar sifatida aniqlangan. Shaffof institutlar, adolatli raqobat, barqaror tartibga solish tizimi, samarali moliya bozori va ishonchli infratuzilma bilan ta’minlanmagan alohida imtiyozlar tadbirkorlikning barqaror rivojlanishini kafolatlay olmasligi asoslangan. Qo‘llab-quvvatlash choralarini korxona hajmi, faoliyat sohasi, rivojlanish bosqichi, innovatsion salohiyati va hududiy sharoitlariga muvofiq tabaqalashtirish zarurligi ko‘rsatilgan. Davlat dasturlari samaradorligini korxonalarning yashovchanligi, bandlik yaratish, mehnat unumdorligi, investitsiyalar, soliq intizomi va eksport o‘sishi kabi aniq ko‘rsatkichlar asosida baholashning ahamiyati yoritilgan. Davlat organlari, tijorat banklari, ta’lim muassasalari, biznes uyushmalari va mahalliy hamjamiyatlar o‘rtasidagi hamkorlikni mustahkamlash iqtisodiy mexanizmlarning natijadorligini oshirishi mumkin. Kompleks va bozor tamoyillariga asoslangan siyosat kichik biznes hamda xususiy tadbirkorlikni inklyuziv o‘sish, innovatsiyalar, daromad yaratish va uzoq muddatli iqtisodiy barqarorlikning yanada kuchli manbaiga aylantirish imkonini beradi.

Kalit so‘zlar: kichik biznes, xususiy tadbirkorlik, iqtisodiy mexanizmlar, moliyaviy qo‘llab-quvvatlash, soliqqa tortish, investitsiyalar, bandlik, innovatsiyalar, ishbilarmonlik muhiti, raqobatbardoshlik.

Аннотация

В статье исследуются экономические механизмы развития малого бизнеса и частного предпринимательства в Узбекистане. Малые предприятия и субъекты частного предпринимательства играют важную роль в создании рабочих мест, увеличении доходов населения, развитии конкурентной среды, расширении внутреннего предложения товаров и услуг, а также стимулировании региональной экономической активности. В исследовании анализируются финансовые, налоговые, институциональные, инфраструктурные, инвестиционные и организационные механизмы, влияющие на развитие предпринимательства. Особое внимание уделяется доступу к кредитным ресурсам, льготному финансированию, кредитным гарантиям, налогообложению, административному регулированию, защите прав собственности, цифровым государственным услугам, деловой инфраструктуре, поддержке инноваций, профессиональной подготовке и стимулированию экспорта. Ограниченность финансовых ресурсов, недостаточное залоговое обеспечение, высокая стоимость заёмного капитала, административная нагрузка, нехватка предпринимательских навыков, региональные различия и ограниченный доступ к технологиям и внешним рынкам определены как основные препятствия для развития бизнеса. Обосновано, что отдельные льготы не могут обеспечить устойчивое развитие предпринимательства без прозрачных институтов, справедливой конкуренции, предсказуемого регулирования, эффективных финансовых рынков и надёжной инфраструктуры. Результаты показывают необходимость дифференциации мер поддержки с учётом размера предприятия, сферы деятельности, стадии развития, инновационного потенциала и региональных условий. Подчёркивается важность оценки государственных программ поддержки на основе измеримых показателей, включая выживаемость предприятий, создание рабочих мест, производительность, инвестиции, налоговую дисциплину и рост экспорта. Укрепление взаимодействия между государственными органами, коммерческими банками, образовательными учреждениями, предпринимательскими объединениями и местными сообществами способно повысить результативность экономических механизмов. Комплексная политика, основанная на рыночных принципах, может превратить малый бизнес и частное предпринимательство в более значимый источник инклюзивного роста, инноваций, формирования доходов и долгосрочной экономической устойчивости.

Ключевые слова: малый бизнес, частное предпринимательство, экономические механизмы, финансовая поддержка, налогообложение, инвестиции, занятость, инновации, деловая среда, конкурентоспособность.

Introduction

Small business and private entrepreneurship are among the principal drivers of employment, income generation, competition, innovation, and structural transformation in a market economy. Their development expands the range of goods and services available to consumers, encourages the efficient use of local resources, and supports the formation of a stable middle-income social group. Small enterprises are generally more flexible than large organizations, enabling them to respond rapidly to changes in demand, introduce specialized products, and operate in market segments that may be unattractive to larger companies. For this reason, the quality of the entrepreneurial environment directly affects the pace and inclusiveness of national economic development.

In Uzbekistan, the expansion of small business and private entrepreneurship is particularly important because of demographic growth, increasing labour-market participation, regional differences in employment opportunities, and the need to diversify economic activity. Entrepreneurship can absorb a substantial part of the growing workforce, provide alternative sources of household income, and stimulate economic activity outside major industrial centres. It can also contribute to the commercialization of local knowledge, agricultural processing, tourism, trade, transport, construction, information technology, and various professional services. However, the quantitative growth of registered business entities does not necessarily indicate that the entrepreneurial sector has become productive, innovative, or financially sustainable.

An economic mechanism for entrepreneurial development can be understood as a coordinated system of financial instruments, tax measures, regulatory institutions, market incentives, infrastructure, information resources, and organizational arrangements. These components influence the creation, operation, expansion, and termination of private enterprises. Effective mechanisms reduce unnecessary transaction costs, protect property and contractual rights, improve access to production resources, and allow entrepreneurs to compete under transparent conditions. Ineffective mechanisms may formally offer support while producing dependence on privileges, unequal access to resources, excessive administrative intervention, or inefficient public expenditure.

Access to finance remains one of the most important conditions for business development. New and small enterprises frequently lack sufficient collateral, a documented credit history, or stable cash flows. Consequently, commercial banks may regard lending to them as relatively risky. High interest expenses and short repayment periods can further restrict investment in equipment, technology, employee development, and market expansion. Credit guarantees, microfinance, leasing, venture financing, factoring, and transparent digital lending can help address these limitations. Nevertheless, financial support must be based on realistic business assessment and repayment capacity so that it does not encourage unproductive borrowing or create persistent dependence on subsidized loans.

Taxation and administrative regulation also shape entrepreneurial incentives. A transparent and predictable tax system allows business owners to estimate costs, prepare investment plans, and maintain legal operations. Simplified accounting and reporting procedures may reduce the compliance burden for micro and small enterprises. However, excessive differentiation of tax privileges can distort competition and encourage firms to remain artificially small. The objective of tax policy should therefore be to reduce unjustified administrative costs while preserving equal competitive conditions, fiscal responsibility, and incentives for enterprise growth.

The quality of institutions is equally significant. Secure property rights, enforceable contracts, fair judicial procedures, transparent licensing, and protection against unlawful interference increase entrepreneurs' willingness to invest. Digital public services can reduce direct contact between businesses and administrative bodies, shorten registration and approval processes, and limit opportunities for discretionary decision-making. At the same time, digitalization alone cannot correct unclear rules or inconsistent enforcement. Institutional reforms must combine technological accessibility with legal certainty and administrative accountability.

Entrepreneurial development also depends on infrastructure and human capital. Reliable electricity, transport, telecommunications, logistics, industrial facilities, and market information influence production costs and access to customers. Entrepreneurs need financial literacy, managerial competence, digital skills, marketing knowledge, and an understanding of legal obligations. Universities and vocational institutions can contribute by integrating entrepreneurship education with practical business planning, financial analysis, innovation management, and cooperation with enterprises.

The development of small business in Uzbekistan therefore requires more than individual concessions or temporary programmes. It requires a comprehensive framework connecting finance, taxation, institutions, infrastructure, education, innovation, and market access. This study aims to examine these mechanisms, identify the principal constraints affecting entrepreneurs, and determine how public policy and market institutions can support productive, competitive, and sustainable private enterprise.

Methods

The study applied a mixed methodological framework combining institutional analysis, comparative analysis, systems analysis, statistical interpretation, and policy evaluation. This combination was selected because the development of small business and private entrepreneurship is influenced by interconnected financial, regulatory, organizational, infrastructural, and social factors. Examining only one component, such as lending or taxation, would not provide a sufficiently complete explanation of entrepreneurial development. The methodological framework therefore treated the entrepreneurial environment as an integrated economic system in which public institutions, financial organizations, markets, infrastructure, and human capital interact.

The theoretical basis of the research was formed through a review of academic literature on entrepreneurship, small-enterprise development, institutional economics, financial intermediation, taxation, innovation, competition, and regional development. The review also covered analytical reports, national strategic documents, legislation, statistical publications, and studies prepared by international organizations. These materials were classified according to the economic mechanism examined, including access to finance, tax administration, regulatory procedures, property protection, infrastructure, innovation support, entrepreneurial education, digital services, and export promotion.

Institutional analysis was used to examine the formal and informal rules affecting entrepreneurial decisions. Formal institutions included laws, taxation procedures, business registration requirements, licensing regulations, credit conditions, property rights, contractual enforcement, and public support programmes. Informal institutions included business culture, trust, attitudes toward entrepreneurial risk, and patterns of cooperation among market participants. This approach made it possible to evaluate not only the existence of particular support measures but also their practical accessibility, predictability, and influence on business behaviour.

A systems approach was applied to identify relationships among separate economic mechanisms. Access to credit, for example, may produce limited effects when an enterprise faces unreliable infrastructure, insufficient managerial competence, or restricted market access. Similarly, tax incentives may encourage formal registration but fail to support long-term growth if entrepreneurs cannot obtain technology or qualified workers. The systems approach therefore enabled the study to distinguish isolated interventions from coordinated policies capable of producing cumulative economic effects.

Comparative analysis was employed to assess differences among microenterprises, small firms, and more established private businesses. These groups differ in their financing needs, organizational capabilities, risk profiles, and capacity to enter foreign markets. The analysis also considered sectoral and regional differences. Enterprises operating in manufacturing may require long-term investment, industrial facilities, certification, and logistics, whereas service enterprises may depend more heavily on skills, digital infrastructure, and customer networks. Regional comparison focused on differences in infrastructure quality, access to banking services, market size, labour qualifications, and administrative capacity.

The effectiveness of economic mechanisms was assessed through indicators reflecting both enterprise-level and economy-wide outcomes. Enterprise-level indicators included business survival, revenue growth, profitability, labour productivity, investment activity, debt sustainability, innovation, and export participation. Broader indicators included the number of active enterprises, employment creation, the diversification of regional economies, formalization, tax compliance, and private-sector contribution to value creation. This distinction was necessary because a programme may increase the number of registered businesses without improving their productivity or long-term viability.

Policy evaluation was based on the principles of relevance, accessibility, additionality, efficiency, and sustainability. Relevance referred to whether a support instrument addressed an actual entrepreneurial constraint. Accessibility examined whether eligible businesses could use the instrument without disproportionate administrative costs. Additionality assessed whether the observed outcome would have occurred without public assistance. Efficiency compared programme costs with measurable economic results, while sustainability considered whether positive effects continued after support ended.

The methodology also recognized several limitations. Official statistics may not fully reflect informal entrepreneurial activity, differences in enterprise definitions can complicate comparisons, and some institutional effects are difficult to quantify. Furthermore, changes in employment or investment may be influenced by broader macroeconomic conditions rather than a specific support mechanism. To reduce these limitations, methodological triangulation was used by comparing theoretical findings, statistical tendencies, policy documents, and reported business constraints. This framework supported the formulation of evidence-based recommendations for improving economic mechanisms that encourage productive and sustainable entrepreneurship in Uzbekistan.

Results

The results show that the development of small business and private entrepreneurship depends on the coordinated operation of financial, tax, institutional, infrastructural, and educational mechanisms. Individual support measures can reduce specific barriers, but their impact remains limited when other elements of the entrepreneurial environment function inefficiently. The most sustainable outcomes emerge when access to finance is combined with predictable regulation, reliable infrastructure, market information, entrepreneurial competence, and effective protection of property rights.

The analysis identifies access to financial resources as one of the principal constraints on enterprise creation and expansion. Microenterprises and recently established firms commonly possess limited collateral, incomplete financial records, and insufficient credit histories. These characteristics increase the perceived risk for commercial banks and restrict access to conventional loans. Credit-guarantee mechanisms can partially address this problem by sharing risk between financial institutions and public support organizations. Microfinance and leasing are especially relevant for entrepreneurs requiring smaller amounts of capital or productive equipment. However, preferential lending produces positive effects only when projects are selected according to commercial viability, expected cash flows, and repayment capacity.

The findings indicate that digital financial services can reduce the cost of evaluating and serving small businesses. Electronic payments, digital transaction records, online banking, and automated credit assessment create information that can supplement traditional collateral requirements. Transaction histories allow financial institutions to evaluate turnover, payment discipline, and business activity more accurately. This can improve access to finance for enterprises operating formally. At the same time, automated lending must be accompanied by responsible risk assessment and transparent conditions to prevent excessive indebtedness.

Tax simplification was found to encourage formalization and reduce compliance expenses, particularly for micro and small enterprises. Clear reporting requirements and accessible electronic tax services decrease the amount of time entrepreneurs spend on administrative procedures. Nevertheless, extensive or prolonged tax privileges may create unequal competitive conditions and reduce incentives for enterprises to expand beyond preferential thresholds. A more effective mechanism combines simple compliance procedures with stable tax rules and gradual obligations corresponding to enterprise size and economic capacity.

Institutional quality has a direct influence on investment decisions. Entrepreneurs are more willing to invest in equipment, facilities, employee training, and innovation when property rights are protected and contractual disputes can be resolved predictably. Digital registration, licensing, and reporting procedures reduce processing time and direct administrative contact. However, the practical value of digital public services depends on the clarity of regulations, interoperability of information systems, and accountability of responsible institutions.

The study also reveals significant differences among regions and sectors. Entrepreneurs in areas with stronger transport, electricity, telecommunications, banking, and logistics infrastructure possess better opportunities to reach customers and expand production. In less-developed areas, formal financial support may be insufficient because infrastructure deficiencies increase operating costs and reduce potential returns. Manufacturing enterprises require production premises, long-term financing, technical standards, logistics, and stable energy supplies. Service and technology enterprises depend more heavily on qualified personnel, broadband access, digital platforms, and intellectual-property protection. Consequently, uniform support instruments cannot respond effectively to all business needs.

Business incubators, industrial zones, technology parks, consulting centres, and shared-service facilities can reduce initial costs and improve access to professional knowledge. Their effectiveness, however, depends on the quality of services rather than the number of institutions created. Facilities that provide only physical space generate limited benefits. More productive models combine infrastructure with accounting assistance, legal advice, mentoring, technological expertise, certification support, investor connections, and access to markets.

Human-capital development emerged as another decisive mechanism. Many business failures are associated not only with insufficient finance but also with weak planning, inaccurate cost calculation, poor cash-flow management, limited marketing knowledge, and inadequate risk assessment. Entrepreneurship education is most effective when it is practical, sector-specific, and linked to real business projects. Cooperation among universities, vocational institutions, commercial banks, and experienced entrepreneurs can improve the relevance of training.

Export-promotion instruments can support enterprise growth by providing market information, certification assistance, trade finance, logistics support, and connections with foreign partners. Small firms often lack the resources required to study external markets or comply with international standards. Targeted assistance can reduce these fixed costs. Overall, the results demonstrate that transparent, differentiated, and performance-oriented mechanisms are more effective than broadly distributed privileges. Public programmes generate greater value when their outcomes are assessed through enterprise survival, productivity, employment, investment, innovation, tax compliance, and export growth.

Discussion

The findings demonstrate that the development of small business and private entrepreneurship should not be measured solely by the number of newly registered enterprises. Registration data indicate entrepreneurial activity, but they do not reveal whether businesses remain operational, create productive employment, introduce innovations, increase labour productivity, or become financially sustainable. A policy framework focused primarily on enterprise creation may therefore generate a large number of vulnerable firms with low growth potential. Greater attention should be directed toward enterprise survival, productive capacity, profitability, formal employment, investment, and integration into domestic and international value chains.

The relationship between financial support and business performance requires careful interpretation. Limited access to capital is a genuine barrier for many entrepreneurs, particularly those lacking collateral and formal credit histories. Nevertheless, expanding subsidized lending without improving project assessment can lead to inefficient capital allocation and excessive debt. Preferential finance should be targeted at commercially viable enterprises that face identifiable market constraints. Credit guarantees may be more effective than direct interest-rate subsidies because they encourage banks to develop their own capacity for evaluating entrepreneurial projects while sharing a defined portion of risk.

Digital financial technologies can reduce information asymmetry between entrepreneurs and lenders. Transaction histories, electronic invoices, tax records, and payment data provide evidence of actual business activity and may enable financial institutions to assess borrowers more accurately. This development is especially relevant for small enterprises that possess stable turnover but limited physical collateral. However, the use of digital data must be accompanied by clear rules governing consent, privacy, security, and the correction of inaccurate information. Otherwise, automated decision-making may exclude potentially viable entrepreneurs without providing an understandable explanation.

Tax policy should maintain a balance between simplicity, fairness, and growth incentives. Simplified regimes can lower compliance costs and encourage informal businesses to enter the legal economy. At the same time, sharp differences between tax regimes may create an incentive to divide enterprises artificially, underreport revenue, or avoid expansion. Gradual transitions between categories can reduce these distortions. Predictability is often more valuable to entrepreneurs than temporary privileges because stable rules allow long-term planning and reduce uncertainty surrounding investment decisions.

Institutional reform remains essential because financial and tax measures cannot compensate for weak property protection or inconsistent regulation. Entrepreneurs invest when they expect to retain control over legitimately acquired assets and receive impartial treatment in contractual or administrative disputes. Digital public services improve accessibility and reduce procedural time, but they should support clearly defined legal processes. If underlying rules remain ambiguous, digitalization may merely transfer uncertainty from paper-based procedures to electronic platforms.

Regional differentiation should become a central feature of entrepreneurial policy in Uzbekistan. Business constraints vary according to infrastructure, population density, industrial specialization, resource availability, distance from major markets, and workforce qualifications. A programme appropriate for a technology enterprise in a large city may be unsuitable for a processing business in an agricultural district. Regional support should be based on local economic potential and clearly identified market failures. Investments in electricity, transport, broadband, logistics, and commercial facilities may sometimes produce greater entrepreneurial benefits than direct financial subsidies.

The effectiveness of business incubators, industrial zones, and consulting centres must also be evaluated through outcomes rather than physical capacity. The number of buildings, offices, or programme participants provides little information about economic impact. Relevant indicators include enterprise survival after leaving an incubator, revenue growth, private investment attracted, jobs created, products commercialized, and markets entered. Publicly supported institutions should disclose performance information and adapt their services according to demonstrated entrepreneurial needs.

Universities and economic educational institutions have an important role in strengthening the entrepreneurial ecosystem. Entrepreneurship education should move beyond theoretical descriptions and include financial modelling, market research, tax compliance, negotiation, digital commerce, and risk analysis. Student projects can be connected with local enterprises, banks, incubators, and investors. Such cooperation improves practical competence and allows universities to contribute directly to regional business development.

The evidence supports a transition from broadly distributed benefits toward targeted, transparent, and measurable mechanisms. Support should correct specific financial, informational, infrastructural, or institutional constraints without replacing market discipline. This approach can promote enterprises that are capable of innovation, productivity growth, responsible borrowing, formal employment, and sustained competition.

Conclusion

The development of small business and private entrepreneurship is a strategic condition for expanding employment, increasing household income, strengthening competition, diversifying production, and supporting balanced regional growth in Uzbekistan. Small enterprises can respond flexibly to changing consumer demand, use local resources, introduce specialized products, and create economic opportunities for different social groups. Nevertheless, their sustainable development requires more than an increase in the number of registered entities. Economic policy should support enterprises capable of maintaining operations, improving productivity, creating formal jobs, investing in modernization, and competing in domestic and foreign markets.

The study established that entrepreneurial development depends on the coordinated functioning of financial, tax, institutional, infrastructural, educational, and market mechanisms. Access to finance remains a central requirement because many new and small enterprises lack sufficient collateral, stable cash flows, and documented credit histories. Credit guarantees, microfinance, leasing, factoring, and digital lending can broaden financing opportunities. These instruments should be based on transparent eligibility criteria, realistic cash-flow analysis, responsible risk sharing, and continuous monitoring. Preferential credit that is distributed without adequate project evaluation may encourage ineffective investment and create excessive indebtedness.

Digital financial services provide an important opportunity to reduce information asymmetry between entrepreneurs and financial institutions. Electronic payments, invoices, tax records, and transaction histories can demonstrate actual business activity and improve credit assessment. This approach may partially reduce dependence on physical collateral and expand financial inclusion. At the same time, digital lending requires reliable data protection, cybersecurity, transparent algorithms, and procedures for correcting inaccurate information. Financial inclusion should not be achieved at the cost of irresponsible lending or excessive risks for entrepreneurs.

Tax mechanisms should encourage formalization while preserving fair competition and incentives for enterprise expansion. Simplified accounting and reporting procedures reduce compliance costs for micro and small businesses. However, sharp differences between tax categories may motivate firms to underreport revenue, divide operations artificially, or avoid growth. A gradual transition between tax regimes, stable rules, accessible digital services, and clear explanations of legal obligations can create a more predictable business environment. Long-term regulatory stability is often more valuable than temporary tax privileges.

Effective institutions are fundamental to private investment. Protection of property rights, enforcement of contracts, impartial dispute resolution, transparent licensing, and safeguards against unlawful administrative interference increase entrepreneurial confidence. Digital public services can shorten procedures, reduce direct contact with officials, and improve transparency. Their effectiveness, however, depends on the clarity of the underlying regulations and the accountability of responsible institutions. Technological modernization must therefore be integrated with legal and administrative reform.

Infrastructure development should be recognized as an essential entrepreneurial support mechanism. Reliable electricity, transport, telecommunications, logistics, production facilities, and broadband access directly influence operating costs and market accessibility. Regional differences in infrastructure and economic specialization require differentiated policy solutions. In some areas, improved roads, energy supply, storage facilities, or internet connectivity may create greater business opportunities than direct subsidies. Regional programmes should be designed according to local resources, workforce capabilities, market potential, and sectoral priorities.

Human capital is equally important for business sustainability. Entrepreneurs require financial literacy, managerial competence, digital skills, legal knowledge, marketing capacity, and the ability to evaluate risks. Universities, vocational institutions, business associations, banks, and experienced entrepreneurs should cooperate in providing practical training and mentoring. Educational programmes should include business planning, cash-flow management, taxation, digital commerce, investment analysis, negotiation, innovation, and export procedures. Practical cooperation with operating enterprises can strengthen both entrepreneurial skills and the relevance of economic education.

Public support institutions must be evaluated according to measurable results. The effectiveness of business incubators, industrial zones, consulting centres, and financing programmes should be assessed through enterprise survival, productivity, employment, revenue, private investment, innovation, tax compliance, and export growth. Reporting only the number of participants, allocated loans, or established facilities does not demonstrate genuine economic impact. Transparent performance evaluation can help redirect public resources toward instruments that generate sustainable benefits.

Ultimately, successful entrepreneurial policy must combine market incentives with targeted public intervention. Government support should address clearly identified financial, informational, institutional, or infrastructural constraints without replacing competition and entrepreneurial responsibility. Strong coordination among public authorities, commercial banks, educational institutions, business associations, and local communities can improve the accessibility and effectiveness of support mechanisms. A transparent, differentiated, and performance-oriented framework will enable small business and private entrepreneurship to make a stronger contribution to innovation, economic resilience, employment, and long-term national development.

References

1. OECD. (2023). Insights on the business climate in Uzbekistan. OECD Publishing.
2. Tadjibaeva, D. (2019). Small and medium-sized enterprise finance in Uzbekistan: Challenges and opportunities. ADBI Working Paper No. 997. Asian Development Bank Institute.
3. Asian Development Bank. (2023). Proposed policy-based loan: Republic of Uzbekistan—Small and medium-sized enterprises development program, Subprogram 2. Asian Development Bank.
4. World Bank Group. (2025). Uzbekistan—World Bank Enterprise Survey 2024. World Bank Group.
5. National Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics. (2026). Main indicators of small business entities in the Republic of Uzbekistan: January–December 2025. National Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics.
6. World Bank. (2022). Toward a prosperous and inclusive future: The second systematic country diagnostic for Uzbekistan. World Bank.
7. OECD. (2022). An introduction to competition law and policy in Uzbekistan. OECD Publishing.
8. Laporte Talamon, C., & van de Ven, D. (2023). Digital skills for private sector competitiveness in Uzbekistan. OECD Publishing.

9. OECD. (2022). Boosting the internationalisation of firms through better export promotion policies in Uzbekistan. OECD Publishing.
10. Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2005). SMEs, growth, and poverty: Cross-country evidence. Journal of Economic Growth, 10(3), 199–229.
11. Ayyagari, M., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2011). Small vs. young firms across the world: Contribution to employment, job creation, and growth. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5631. World Bank.
12. North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.
13. Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). The theory of economic development: An inquiry into profits, capital, credit, interest, and the business cycle. Harvard University Press.
14. International Labour Organization. (2015). Small and medium-sized enterprises and decent and productive employment creation. International Labour Office.
15. OECD. (2021). The digital transformation of SMEs. OECD Publishing.
16. Storey, D. J. (1994). Understanding the small business sector. Routledge.
17. Audretsch, D. B., & Thurik, A. R. (2001). What's new about the new economy? Sources of growth in the managed and entrepreneurial economies. Industrial and Corporate Change, 10(1), 267–315.
18. International Finance Corporation. (2017). MSME finance gap: Assessment of the shortfalls and opportunities in financing micro, small, and medium enterprises in emerging markets. International Finance Corporation.
19. OECD. (2018). Financing SMEs and entrepreneurs 2018: An OECD scoreboard. OECD Publishing.
20. Asian Development Bank. (2021). Proposed policy-based loan: Republic of Uzbekistan—Small and medium-sized enterprises development program, Subprogram 1. Asian Development Bank.