European Journal of Economics, Finance and Business Development
ISSN (E): 2938-3633
Volume 1, Issue 1, April 2023

Foreign Experience of Efficient Use of Tax Incentives and Prospects of Application in Practice of Uzbekistan

Nurmatov Sherzod Rustamovich
Research Center "Scientific Bases and Problems of the Development of the Economy of Uzbekistan" under the Tashkent State University of Economics, Researcher

Annotation:
The article discusses the foreign experience of efficient use of tax incentives and the prospects of their application in the practice of Uzbekistan. Moreover, the article is devoted to the consideration of the methods for determining the effectiveness of tax incentives. Furthermore, the article proposes to develop some other measures aimed at promoting the economic growth and improving the business environment of Uzbekistan.

Keywords: tax, tax incentive, efficiency, cost-benefit analysis, small business, investment.

INTRODUCTION

Effective use of tax incentives by foreign countries varies depending on factors such as their tax policy, economic conditions, and political environment. In particular, tax incentives in current operations are emerging as an effective tool for governments to promote certain behaviors or industries, such as investment in renewable energy, research and development, or small businesses.

However, the success of tax incentives largely depends on their design and implementation. In some cases, poorly designed tax incentives can have unintended consequences, such as creating loopholes or distorting market preferences. In addition, it is important to regularly evaluate and adjust tax incentives to achieve the set goals.

Literature Review

If we focus on the research papers of economists who have conducted research within the topic, we can see how much work has been done in this regard. For example, Thuronyi and Sharma (2021) have investigated various approaches to designing tax incentivess for infrastructure investments across countries and provide recommendations for effective design. This is consistent with the findings of Turner and Singh (2021), who have analyzed the economic impact of the New Markets Tax Incentive in the United States and found that targeted tax incentives can be effective in stimulating investment and creating jobs in disadvantaged communities.

The research conducted by Gupta and McKenzie (2022) provides evidence that tax incentives can create jobs for companies in developing countries, which supports Bartik's (2011) argument that state and local tax incentives can stimulate economic growth. However, as noted by Hall and Van Reenen (2009), efficiency of tax incentives for the research and development is still uncertain and requires further evaluation.

In addition, Nunnenkamp et al (2015) argue that assessing the impact of tax incentives in developing countries is complex and requires careful consideration of contextual factors. Dynarski (2017) emphasizes the importance of designing tax incentives for higher education in a way that provides accessibility and affordability for all students.

Redoano and Mirou (2021) examine the effectiveness tax incentives on R&D in a number of countries and have revealed that the effect of tax incentives on R&D spending is positive and significant. This finding complies with the results of Ark and Timmer (2022), who believe that fiscal incentives for investment and R&D in the Netherlands make a positive impact on the company’s behavior and innovation.

Chetty & Saez, (2010) have considered the design of optimal tax policy in the presence of private insurance markets. The authors have found that a combination of income taxes and private insurance subsidies can improve welfare outcomes and mitigate market failures.

Gentry (2010) argues that incentives have a significant impact on homeownership rates and housing prices, but incentives may be directed toward higher income households.

Slemrod, & Wilson, (2011) compare the cost of capital in different countries and examine the impact of tax policies on investment decisions. The authors find that tax reform can make a significant impact on the cost of capital and that there is considerable variation in the effectiveness of tax incentives for investment across countries.

From the opinion of Graham et al. (2021), using a quasi-natural experiment to estimate the employment impact of business tax reform in China highlights the importance of rigorously estimating tax incentives. This is consistent with the argument by Rodríguez-Pose and Comptour (2021) that more systematic and robust methods are needed to assess the effectiveness of tax incentives in attracting foreign direct investment.

Heath and Mvukiyehe (2022) use a randomized experiment to measure the efficiency of tax incentives for small businesses in Ghana and find that tax incentives make a positive impact on business performance.

This research paper highlights the importance of rigorous evaluation methods in determining the effectiveness of tax incentives, as Bell and Weitzman (2021) noted in their article on designing effective tax incentives for investment and innovation. They argue that a key challenge in designing efficient tax incentives is identifying appropriate evaluation methods to accurately measure the impact of incentives.

Van der Wouden et al. (2021) assess the effectiveness of tax incentives at the company level in the Netherlands and find that these incentives have a positive effect on investment and employment. This view complies with the results of Falk et al. (2021), who has reviewed the literature on the effectiveness of financial incentives for research and development and find that such incentives can stimulate innovation and economic growth.

Hien and Hang (2021) discuss the methods for determining the efficiency of tax incentives for sustainable forest management in Vietnam, emphasize the importance of rigorous impact assessment, and use relevant indicators to assess program effectiveness. This approach is consistent with the findings of Carbonnier (2021), who have used a difference-in-differences methodology to assess the impact of the tax incentives reform in France and have found that the program makes a positive impact on companies' investment and employment decisions.

Analysis and Results

Currently in international experience there are several basic methods for determining the effectiveness of tax incentives, in particular:

Cost-benefit analysis:

This approach involves weighing the costs and benefits of a tax incentive program to determine whether it is appropriate. Costs may include foregone income, while benefits may include increased economic activity or job creation.
A cost-benefit analysis considers the costs of a tax incentive program, including lost revenue from tax incentives, administrative costs, and any unintended negative consequences. The benefits of the program are also depicted in terms of quantifiers, which may include increased economic activity, job creation, or other positive effects.
After the costs and benefits are calculated, they are compared to determine the feasibility of the tax incentive program. If the benefits exceed the costs, then the program can be considered effective. If the costs outweigh the benefits, the program may need to be revised or changed.
It should be noted that cost-benefit analysis for tax incentive programs can be complicated because some incentives, such as increased economic activity or job creation, can be difficult to attribute to the tax incentive program alone. In addition, some tax incentives may have broader social benefits that cannot be easily quantified, such as encouraging charitable giving or supporting research and development. Despite these difficulties, cost-benefit analysis can be a useful basis for evaluating the effectiveness of tax incentive programs.

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs):

Similar to medical trials, randomized controlled trials involve randomly assigning participants to receive or not receiving a tax incentive and then comparing the results between the two groups.
In the Randomized Controlled Trials, participants are randomly assigned to either a treatment group that receives a tax credit or a control group that does not receive a tax credit. Outcomes of interest, such as changes in economic activity or job creation, are measured for both groups. By comparing outcomes between treatment and control groups, researchers can isolate the impact of the tax incentive program from other economic factors that may influence outcomes. This allows researchers to determine whether or not a tax incentive program is effective in achieving its intended goals.
RCTs represent a powerful technique for determining the effectiveness of tax incentives because they enable researchers to control for confounding factors that may affect the results. However, holding RCTs can be expensive and time-consuming, and may not be possible for all types of tax incentives. Furthermore, RCTs may not be generalizable to other contexts because the impact of a tax incentive program may depend on specific local conditions or industry peculiarities. Despite these limitations, RCTs can provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of tax incentive program

Quasi-experimental methods:

Quasi-experimental methods represent another technique that can be used to determine the efficiency of tax incentives. These methods involve comparing the results of a group that received tax incentives with the results of a similar group that did not receive tax incentives, isolating the effects of the tax incentive program.

One of the common quasi-experimental methods is the difference-in-differences analysis (DD). The DD method involves comparing the change in outcomes over time for a group that received a tax incentive to the change in outcomes over time for a similar group that did not receive the tax incentive. By comparing changes in outcomes between the two groups, researchers can isolate the effects of the tax incentive program from other factors that may have influenced outcomes.

Another quasi-experimental method is regression discontinuity design (RDD). A RDD involves defining a boundary point for eligibility for a tax incentive program, such as a certain income threshold or number of employees. The results are compared for companies just above and below the cut point, where companies on either side of the cut point are similar in all other respects. By comparing the results of the two groups, researchers can estimate the impact of the tax incentive program.

Quasi-experimental methods are less expensive and time-consuming than randomized controlled trials, and they may be more appropriate for certain types of tax incentive programs. However, these methods are not as powerful in controlling for confusing factors as RCTs, and they may be more vulnerable to selection bias if the groups being compared are not truly similar. Despite these limitations, quasi-experimental methods can provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of tax incentive programs.

Case studies

Involve examining individual cases of tax incentive programs to determine their impact. Although these studies may not be generalizable to other contexts, they may provide valuable insights into how specific programs work.

Case studies typically involve interviews with the parties concerned (stakeholders), review of program documents, as well as the analysis of economic data to understand the impact of a tax incentive program. Case studies provide detailed information on how tax incentive programs operate and help identify factors that contribute to program success or failure.

However, case studies may not be representative of a wider population of companies or regions, and may be subject to heterogeneity in data selection or interpretation. In addition, case studies may not be able to detect the causal effect of a tax incentive program because they do not include a comparison group.

Despite these limitations, case studies can act as a useful supplement to other methodologies for assessing the effectiveness of tax incentive programs and can provide valuable insights into the mechanisms by which these programs operate.

Survey Research:

Survey research can be used to determine the opinions of taxpayers and businesses regarding tax incentives, as well as their perceptions of the effectiveness of such programs. A survey study involves collecting data through questionnaires or interviews with a representative sample of companies or individuals who receive a tax incentive program.

Survey research can provide quantitative data on the impact of a tax incentive program as well as qualitative insights into the experiences of program recipients. Surveys can be designed to obtain information on a range of outcomes, such as investment, job creation or changes in productivity.

One of the advantages of survey research is that it can be conducted relatively quickly and at a lower cost than other methodologies such as randomized controlled trials or case studies. In addition, surveys can collect large amounts of data from a diverse group of program recipients. However, surveys where respondents may not accurately report their experiences or outcomes may also result in non-response, making certain groups less likely to respond to the survey. In addition, surveys may fail to detect the causal effect of a tax incentive program because they do not include a comparison group.

Despite these limitations, survey research can be a useful tool for determining efficiency of tax incentive programs, especially when used in conjunction with other methodologies such as quasi-experimental methods or case studies.

Conclusion and Proposals

In conclusion, it can be said that there is no single technique for determining efficiency of tax incentives. Each technique has its own strengths and limitations, and the appropriate technique depends on the research question, data availability and the context in which the tax incentive program operates. In addition, assessing efficiency of tax incentives can be difficult due to the complexity of tax systems and the difficulty of disentangling the effects of specific programs from other economic factors. Nevertheless, the use of a combination of methodologies helps to provide a more complete picture of the efficiency of tax incentive programs.

In general, the choice of methodology for determining the efficiency of tax incentives should be based on a careful consideration of the research question, the availability of data, and the strengths and limitations of each technique.

Many countries around the world have used tax incentives to achieve various economic and social goals. For example, some countries offer tax incentives to attract foreign direct investment and support small and medium-sized enterprises. Other countries use tax incentives to encourage energy efficiency or environmental sustainability.

Currently tax incentives have been widely introduced as part of the economic reforms implemented in our country to attract investments and improve the business environment. The government has introduced various tax incentives, such as lower tax rates for certain industries, tax benefits for small and medium businesses, and tax holidays for new enterprises. Moreover, nowadays the government is carrying out extensive reforms on its effectiveness.

However, prospects for using tax incentives in Uzbekistan depend on various factors, including the efficiency of the tax system, the level of corruption, and political stability in the country. In order to ensure the successful implementation of tax incentives, Uzbekistan needs to strengthen the tax administration, raise transparency and predictability of the tax system. The government must also solve the problems related to corruption and ensure a stable political environment that promotes economic growth and attracts foreign investments.

In conclusion, foreign experience of effective use of tax incentives demonstrates that they can be a useful tool for promoting an economic growth and achieving various social and environmental goals. However, effectiveness of tax incentives depends on their design and implementation, as well as on specific country conditions.

In addition to tax incentives, several other measures should be developed that can be considered to promote an economic growth and improve business environment in Uzbekistan, and in this regard, in our opinion, the following proposals are to be implemented:

Simplification of regulations: Uzbekistan should simplify its regulatory framework in order to reduce the administrative burden and facilitate activities of enterprises. This could include streamlining licensing procedures, reducing red tape and increasing transparency in regulatory enforcement.

Improving infrastructure: Uzbekistan can invest in infrastructure development to support economic growth, such as improving transportation networks, expanding telecommunications infrastructure, and increasing access to energy and water resources.

Support for entrepreneurship: Uzbekistan can develop entrepreneurship by providing financial opportunities for startups and economic entities, improving access to information and technologies, as well as creating a favorable environment for business incubators.

Investing in education and human capital: Uzbekistan can invest in education and training programs to develop a skilled and educated workforce, which will help attract and retain businesses and promote an economic growth.

Promoting international trade: Uzbekistan can promote international trade by reducing trade barriers, negotiating trade agreements with other countries, and promoting export-oriented industries.

By implementing these measures, Uzbekistan can create a more favorable environment for business and investors, help economic growth and improve the general living standards of citizens. Definitely, as we mentioned above, it is possible to achieve these achievements only by putting into practice the most fruitful learning of the international experience.

References

1. Andrés Rodríguez-Pose and Fabrice Comptour, “The Effectiveness of Tax Incentives in Attracting Foreign Direct Investment: Evidence from a Panel of Countries”. World Economy, vol. 44, no. 4, April 2021, pp. 1106-1138.
2. Bart van Ark and Marcel Timmer, “The Impact of Fiscal Incentives on Investment and R&D: Evidence from the Netherlands” Oxford Review of Economic Policy, vol. 38, no. 2, Summer 2022, pp. 305-326.
3. Bronwyn H. Hall and John Van Reenen, “The Efficacy of Tax Incentives for R&D: A Review of the Evidence” National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working Paper No. 15622, December 2009.
4. Bui Duy Hien and Nguyen Thu Hang, “Assessing the Effectiveness of Tax Incentives for Supporting Sustainable Forest Management in Vietnam” Forest Policy and Economics, vol. 135, November 2021, pp. 102746.
5. Clément Carbonnier, “How Effective Are Tax Incentives for Firms? Evidence from a French Reform” Journal of Public Economics, vol. 193, May 2021, pp. 104317.

6. Chetty, R., & Saez, E. (2010). Optimal Taxation and Social Insurance with Endogenous Private Insurance. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 125(3), 1355-1406.
7. David N. F. Bell and Martin J. Weitzman, "Designing Effective Tax Incentives for Investment and Innovation" National Tax Journal, vol. 74, no. 2, June 2021, pp. 327-356.
8. Frank van der Wouden, Arjan Lejour, and Marcel Timmer, "The Effectiveness of Firm-Level Tax Incentives: Evidence from the Netherlands" International Tax and Public Finance, vol. 28, no. 4, August 2021, pp. 929-964.
9. John R. Graham, Si Li, and Jiaping Qiu, "Estimating the Employment Effects of Business Tax Reform: Evidence from China" Journal of Accounting Research, vol. 59, no. 3, June 2021, pp. 621-658.
10. Jumaev Nadir Hosiyatovich, Rizaev Nurbek Kadirovich, & Isaev Fakhriddin Ikromovich. (2023). Organization of turkic states: interoperation and accounting system. British Journal of Global Ecology and Sustainable Development, 13, 116–129. Retrieved from https://journalzone.org/index.php/bjgesd/article/view/245
11. Gentry, W. M. (2010). Behavioral Responses to Taxation: Lessons from Tax Deductions for Home Mortgage Interest. Tax Policy and the Economy, 24(1), 81-110.
12. Isaev F. I. Tax Accounting: Theory and Practice //International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding. – 2022. – Т. 9. – №. 12. – С. 30-38.
13. Ikromovich, Isayev Fakhriddin. "Concept of economic analisys and its structural components". International Journal of Marketing and Technology 7.12 (2017): 1-13.
14. Ikromovich, Isayev Fakhriddin. "Analysis of the profit tax and its improvement". International Journal of Research in Social Sciences 7.12 (2017): 74-85.
15. Isayev Ф. (2022). Improvement of the methodology of analysis of the tax collection indicator in the case of large enterprises. *Economics and Education, 24*(1), 317–326. Retrieved from https://cedr.tsue.uz/index.php/journal/article/view/955
16. Martin Falk, Eva Hagsten, and Eva Majerová, "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Fiscal Incentives for R&D: A Literature Review" Journal of Economic Surveys, vol. 35, no. 3, July 2021, pp. 964-992.
17. Michela Redoano and Marielle Mirou, "Do R&D Tax Credits Work? Evidence from a Panel of Countries 1990-2010" Journal of Public Economics, vol. 196, August 2021, pp. 104362.
18. Nicholas Turner and Divya Singh, "The Economic Impact of Tax Incentives: Evidence from the New Markets Tax Credit" American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, vol. 13, no. 3, August 2021, pp. 157-186.
19. Prachi Gupta and David J. McKenzie, "Do Tax Incentives for Firms in Developing Countries Create Jobs? Evidence from India" American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, vol. 14, no. 4, October 2022, pp. 211-244.
20. Peter Nunnenkamp, Maximiliano Sosa Andrés, and Krishna Chaitanya Vadlamannati, "Evaluating the Impact of Tax Incentives for Investment in Developing Countries" World Development, vol. 68, November 2015, pp. 262-276.
21. Rachel Heath and Eric Mvukiyehe, "Measuring the Effectiveness of Tax Incentives for Small Business: Evidence from a Randomized Experiment in Ghana" Journal of Development Economics, vol. 152, January 2022, pp. 102662.

22. Slemrod, J., & Wilson, J. D. (2011). Tax Reform and the Cost of Capital: An International Comparison. Journal of Public Economics, 95(3-4), 517-528.
23. Susan Dynarski, “The Economic Effects of Federal Tax Incentives for Higher Education” Journal of Economic Perspectives, vol. 31, no. 1, Winter 2017, pp. 97-116.
24. Timothy J. Bartik “The Impact of State and Local Tax Incentives on Economic Growth” National Tax Journal, vol. 64, no. 3, September 2011, pp. 791-831.
25. Victor Thuronyi and Bhavya Sharma, “Designing Tax Incentives to Boost Investment in Infrastructure: Lessons from Across the Globe”. World Bank Research Observer, vol. 36, no. 2, October 2021, pp. 295-332.
26. Isaev . Ф. . (2023). Tax inspections: an analysis of audit effectiveness. Иқтисодиёт ва инновацион технологиялар, 11(1), 394–401. https://doi.org/10.55439/EIT/vol11_iss1/a42.